

Existence and long-time behaviour for gradient flows of non convex functionals

Riccarda Rossi
(University of Brescia)

in collaboration with
Giuseppe Savaré (University of Pavia),
Antonio Segatti (University of Pavia),
Ulisse Stefanelli (IMATI-CNR, Pavia)

Dynamics of Phase Transitions
WIAS - Berlin
30th November 2005

Gradient flows of non convex functionals

Gradient flows of non convex functionals

We investigate:

- ▶ existence
- ▶ long-time behaviour

Gradient flows of non convex functionals

We investigate:

- ▶ existence
- ▶ long-time behaviour

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\phi(u(t)) \ni 0, & t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

Gradient flows of non convex functionals

We investigate:

- ▶ existence
- ▶ long-time behaviour

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\phi(u(t)) \ni 0, & t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

- ▶ \mathcal{H} separable Hilbert space, $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ proper,

Gradient flows of non convex functionals

We investigate:

- ▶ existence
- ▶ long-time behaviour

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\phi(u(t)) \ni 0, & t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

- ▶ \mathcal{H} separable Hilbert space, $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ proper,
- ▶ lower semicontinuous,

Gradient flows of non convex functionals

We investigate:

- ▶ existence
- ▶ long-time behaviour

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\phi(u(t)) \ni 0, & t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

- ▶ \mathcal{H} separable Hilbert space, $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ proper,
- ▶ lower semicontinuous,
- ▶ **non convex!**

Gradient flows of non convex functionals

We investigate:

- ▶ existence
- ▶ long-time behaviour

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \text{"}\partial\text{"} \phi(u(t)) \ni 0, & t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

- ▶ \mathcal{H} separable Hilbert space, $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ proper,
- ▶ lower semicontinuous,
- ▶ **non convex!**

Problem:

Which notion of subdifferential "}\partial\text{"} \phi?

Gradient flows of convex functionals: results

When ϕ is **convex**, " ∂ " ϕ is the subdifferential of ϕ **in the sense of convex analysis**

Gradient flows of convex functionals: results

Given $u \in D(\phi)$,

$$\xi \in \partial\phi(u) \Leftrightarrow \phi(w) - \phi(u) \geq \langle \xi, w - u \rangle \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{H}$$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: results

Given $u \in D(\phi)$,

$$\xi \in \partial\phi(u) \Leftrightarrow \phi(w) - \phi(u) \geq \langle \xi, w - u \rangle \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{H}$$

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\phi(u(t)) \ni 0, & t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: results

Given $u \in D(\phi)$,

$$\xi \in \partial\phi(u) \Leftrightarrow \phi(w) - \phi(u) \geq \langle \xi, w - u \rangle \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{H}$$

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\phi(u(t)) \ni 0, & t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

Well-established literature:

- ▶ existence, uniqueness, approximation of solutions ([Kōmura'67, Crandall-Pazy'69, Brézis'73]),
- ▶ long-time behaviour ([Temam'88])

Gradient flows of convex functionals: results

Given $u \in D(\phi)$,

$$\xi \in \partial\phi(u) \Leftrightarrow \phi(w) - \phi(u) \geq \langle \xi, w - u \rangle \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{H}$$

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\phi(u(t)) \ni 0, & t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

Well-established literature:

- ▶ existence, uniqueness, approximation of solutions ([Kōmura'67, Crandall-Pazy'69, Brézis'73]),
- ▶ long-time behaviour ([Temam'88])

Problem:

How extend (some of) these results to the non convex case?

Gradient flows of convex functionals: results

Given $u \in D(\phi)$,

$$\xi \in \partial\phi(u) \Leftrightarrow \phi(w) - \phi(u) \geq \langle \xi, w - u \rangle \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{H}$$

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial\phi(u(t)) \ni 0, & t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

Well-established literature:

- ▶ existence, uniqueness, approximation of solutions ([Kōmura'67, Crandall-Pazy'69, Brézis'73]),
- ▶ long-time behaviour ([Temam'88])

Idea:

Let's have a deeper look at the convex case!

Gradient flows of convex functionals: approximation

- ▶ Fix time step $\tau > 0 \rightsquigarrow$ partition of $(0, T)$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: approximation

- ▶ Fix time step $\tau > 0 \rightsquigarrow$ partition of $(0, T)$
- ▶ **Discrete solutions** $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N$: solve recursively

Gradient flows of convex functionals: approximation

- ▶ Fix time step $\tau > 0 \rightsquigarrow$ partition of $(0, T)$
- ▶ **Discrete solutions** $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N$: solve recursively

$$u_\tau^n \in \operatorname{Argmin}_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\tau} |u - u_\tau^{n-1}|^2 + \phi(u) \right\}, \quad u_\tau^0 := u_0$$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: approximation

- ▶ Fix time step $\tau > 0 \rightsquigarrow$ partition of $(0, T)$
- ▶ **Discrete solutions** $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N$: solve recursively

$$\frac{u_\tau^n - u_\tau^{n-1}}{\tau} + \partial\phi(u_\tau^n) \ni 0, \quad u_\tau^0 := u_0$$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: approximation

- ▶ Fix time step $\tau > 0 \rightsquigarrow$ partition of $(0, T)$
- ▶ **Discrete solutions** $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N$: solve recursively

$$\frac{u_\tau^n - u_\tau^{n-1}}{\tau} + \partial\phi(u_\tau^n) \ni 0, \quad u_\tau^0 := u_0$$

- ▶ **Approximate solutions**: interpolants on $(0, T)$ of $\{u_\tau^k\}_{k=1}^n$:
 - ▶ $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}$ piecewise constant;
 - ▶ $\{\hat{u}_\tau\}$ piecewise linear.

Gradient flows of convex functionals: approximation

- ▶ Fix time step $\tau > 0 \rightsquigarrow$ partition of $(0, T)$
- ▶ **Discrete solutions** $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N$: solve recursively

$$\frac{u_\tau^n - u_\tau^{n-1}}{\tau} + \partial\phi(u_\tau^n) \ni 0, \quad u_\tau^0 := u_0$$

- ▶ **Approximate solutions**: interpolants on $(0, T)$ of $\{u_\tau^k\}_{k=1}^n$:
 - ▶ $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}$ piecewise constant;
 - ▶ $\{\hat{u}_\tau\}$ piecewise linear.
- ▶ **Approximate equation**:

$$\hat{u}_\tau'(t) + \partial\phi(\bar{u}_\tau(t)) \ni 0 \quad t \in (0, T)$$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: approximation

- ▶ Fix time step $\tau > 0 \rightsquigarrow$ partition of $(0, T)$
- ▶ **Discrete solutions** $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N$: solve recursively

$$\frac{u_\tau^n - u_\tau^{n-1}}{\tau} + \partial\phi(u_\tau^n) \ni 0, \quad u_\tau^0 := u_0$$

- ▶ **Approximate solutions**: interpolants on $(0, T)$ of $\{u_\tau^k\}_{k=1}^n$:
 - ▶ $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}$ piecewise constant;
 - ▶ $\{\hat{u}_\tau\}$ piecewise linear.
- ▶ **Approximate equation**:

$$\hat{u}_\tau'(t) + \partial\phi(\bar{u}_\tau(t)) \ni 0 \quad t \in (0, T)$$

- ▶ **Approximate energy inequality**:

$$\int_0^t |\hat{u}_\tau'(s)|^2 ds + \phi(\bar{u}_\tau(t)) \leq \phi(u_0).$$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: existence

- ▶ \Rightarrow **A priori estimates** for $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}, \{\hat{u}_\tau\}$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: existence

- ▶ \Rightarrow **A priori estimates** for $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}, \{\hat{u}_\tau\}$
- ▶ **Compactness:** $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \hat{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: existence

- ▶ \Rightarrow **A priori estimates** for $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}, \{\hat{u}_\tau\}$
- ▶ **Compactness:** $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \hat{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Closedness argument:** use that

Gradient flows of convex functionals: existence

- ▶ \Rightarrow **A priori estimates** for $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}, \{\hat{u}_\tau\}$
- ▶ **Compactness:** $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \hat{u}_\tau \rightharpoonup u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Closedness argument:** use that
 - ▶ $\partial\phi(u)$ is **convex** for any $u \in D(\phi)$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: existence

- ▶ \Rightarrow **A priori estimates** for $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}, \{\hat{u}_\tau\}$
- ▶ **Compactness:** $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \hat{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Closedness argument:** use that
 - ▶ $\partial\phi(u)$ is **convex** for any $u \in D(\phi)$
 - ▶ $\partial\phi$ is **strongly-weakly** closed in the sense of graphs

Gradient flows of convex functionals: existence

- ▶ \Rightarrow **A priori estimates** for $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}, \{\hat{u}_\tau\}$
- ▶ **Compactness:** $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \hat{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Closedness argument:** use that
 - ▶ $\partial\phi(u)$ is **convex** for any $u \in D(\phi)$

$$u_n \rightarrow u, \quad \xi_n \rightarrow \xi, \quad \xi_n \in \partial\phi(u_n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \xi \in \partial\phi(u)$$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: existence

- ▶ \Rightarrow **A priori estimates** for $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}, \{\hat{u}_\tau\}$
- ▶ **Compactness:** $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \hat{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Closedness argument:** use that
 - ▶ $\partial\phi(u)$ is **convex** for any $u \in D(\phi)$
 - ▶ $\partial\phi$ is **strongly-weakly** closed in the sense of graphs

Gradient flows of convex functionals: existence

- ▶ \Rightarrow **A priori estimates** for $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}, \{\hat{u}_\tau\}$
- ▶ **Compactness:** $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \hat{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Closedness argument:** use that
 - ▶ $\partial\phi(u)$ is **convex** for any $u \in D(\phi)$
 - ▶ $\partial\phi$ is **strongly-weakly** closed in the sense of graphs
- ▶ **Passage to the limit:**

Gradient flows of convex functionals: existence

- ▶ \Rightarrow **A priori estimates** for $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}, \{\hat{u}_\tau\}$
- ▶ **Compactness:** $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \hat{u}_\tau \rightharpoonup u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Closedness argument:** use that
 - ▶ $\partial\phi(u)$ is **convex** for any $u \in D(\phi)$
 - ▶ $\partial\phi$ is **strongly-weakly** closed in the sense of graphs
- ▶ **Passage to the limit:**

$$-\hat{u}'_\tau(t) \in \partial\phi(\bar{u}_\tau(t)) \quad t \in (0, T)$$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: existence

- ▶ \Rightarrow **A priori estimates** for $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}, \{\hat{u}_\tau\}$
- ▶ **Compactness:** $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \hat{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Closedness argument:** use that
 - ▶ $\partial\phi(u)$ is **convex** for any $u \in D(\phi)$
 - ▶ $\partial\phi$ is **strongly-weakly** closed in the sense of graphs
- ▶ **Passage to the limit:**

$$-u'(t) \in \partial\phi(u(t)) \quad t \in (0, T)$$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: existence

- ▶ \Rightarrow **A priori estimates** for $\{\bar{u}_\tau\}, \{\hat{u}_\tau\}$
- ▶ **Compactness:** $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \hat{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **Closedness argument:** use that
 - ▶ $\partial\phi(u)$ is **convex** for any $u \in D(\phi)$
 - ▶ $\partial\phi$ is **strongly-weakly** closed in the sense of graphs
- ▶ **Passage to the limit:** u is the (unique!) solution!

$$-u'(t) \in \partial\phi(u(t)) \quad t \in (0, T)$$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: energy identity

Since $\partial\phi$ fulfils the **chain rule**

$$\begin{cases} u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \xi \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \xi(t) \in \partial\phi(u(t)) \text{ a.e. in } (0, T) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\phi(u(t)) &= \langle \xi(t), u'(t) \rangle \\ &\text{a.e. in } (0, T) \end{aligned}$$

Gradient flows of convex functionals: energy identity

Since $\partial\phi$ fulfils the **chain rule**

$$\begin{cases} u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \xi \in L^2(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \xi(t) \in \partial\phi(u(t)) \text{ a.e. in } (0, T) \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\phi(u(t)) &= \langle \xi(t), u'(t) \rangle \\ &\text{a.e. in } (0, T) \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow the solution u satisfies the **energy identity**:

$$\int_s^t |u'(r)|^2 dr + \phi(u(t)) = \phi(u(s)) \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Tentative approximation

- ▶ Fix time step $\tau > 0 \rightsquigarrow$ partition of $(0, T)$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Tentative approximation

- ▶ Fix time step $\tau > 0 \rightsquigarrow$ partition of $(0, T)$
- ▶ **Discrete solutions:** the recursive minimization problem

Find $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N$:

$$u_\tau^n \in \operatorname{Argmin}_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\tau} |u - u_\tau^{n-1}|^2 + \phi(u) \right\}, \quad u_\tau^0 := u_0$$

has a solution if ϕ is **coercive** (for instance, $\phi(\cdot) + |\cdot|^2$ has compact sublevels)

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Tentative approximation

- ▶ Fix time step $\tau > 0 \rightsquigarrow$ partition of $(0, T)$
- ▶ **Discrete solutions:** the recursive minimization problem

Find $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N$:

$$u_\tau^n \in \operatorname{Argmin}_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\tau} |u - u_\tau^{n-1}|^2 + \phi(u) \right\}, \quad u_\tau^0 := u_0$$

has a solution if ϕ is **coercive** (for instance, $\phi(\cdot) + |\cdot|^2$ has compact sublevels)

- ▶ **Discrete equation:**

$$\frac{u_\tau^n - u_\tau^{n-1}}{\tau} + \partial_F \phi(u_\tau^n) \ni 0,$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Tentative approximation

- ▶ Fix time step $\tau > 0 \rightsquigarrow$ partition of $(0, T)$
- ▶ **Discrete solutions:** the recursive minimization problem

Find $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N$:

$$u_\tau^n \in \operatorname{Argmin}_{u \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\tau} |u - u_\tau^{n-1}|^2 + \phi(u) \right\}, \quad u_\tau^0 := u_0$$

has a solution if ϕ is **coercive** (for instance, $\phi(\cdot) + |\cdot|^2$ has compact sublevels)

- ▶ **Discrete equation:** $\partial_F \phi$ the **Fréchet subdifferential** of ϕ

$$\frac{u_\tau^n - u_\tau^{n-1}}{\tau} + \partial_F \phi(u_\tau^n) \ni 0,$$

The Fréchet subdifferential

Idea: “localize” the convex subdifferential

The Fréchet subdifferential

Idea: “localize” the convex subdifferential

The convex subdifferential

Given $u \in D(\phi)$,

$$\xi \in \partial\phi(u) \Leftrightarrow \phi(w) - \phi(u) \geq \langle \xi, w - u \rangle \quad \forall w \in \mathcal{H}$$

The Fréchet subdifferential

Idea: “localize” the convex subdifferential

The Fréchet subdifferential

Given $u \in D(\phi)$,

$$\xi \in \partial_F \phi(u) \Leftrightarrow \liminf_{w \rightarrow u} \frac{\phi(w) - \phi(u) - \langle \xi, w - u \rangle}{|w - u|} \geq 0$$

The Fréchet subdifferential

Idea: “localize” the convex subdifferential

The Fréchet subdifferential

Given $u \in D(\phi)$,

$$\xi \in \partial_F \phi(u) \Leftrightarrow \liminf_{w \rightarrow u} \frac{\phi(w) - \phi(u) - \langle \xi, w - u \rangle}{|w - u|} \geq 0$$

- ▶ $\partial_F \phi \equiv \partial \phi$ if ϕ is convex
- ▶ $\partial_F \phi(u)$ is **convex** for all $u \in D(\phi)$
- ▶ $\partial_F \phi$ is **not strongly-weakly closed** in the sense of graphs!!!

From convex to non convex: heuristics

- ▶ **Discrete solutions:** $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N \Rightarrow$ approximate solutions
- ▶ **Approximate equation:**

$$\widehat{u}'_\tau(t) + \partial_F \phi(\bar{u}_\tau(t)) \ni 0 \quad t \in (0, T)$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

- ▶ **Discrete solutions:** $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N \Rightarrow$ approximate solutions
- ▶ **Approximate equation:**

$$\widehat{u}'_\tau(t) + \partial_F \phi(\bar{u}_\tau(t)) \ni 0 \quad t \in (0, T)$$

- ▶ **with some changes:**

- ✓ approximate energy inequality
- ✓ a priori estimates + compactness:
 $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \widehat{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

- ▶ **Discrete solutions:** $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N \Rightarrow$ approximate solutions
- ▶ **Approximate equation:**

$$\widehat{u}'_\tau(t) + \partial_F \phi(\bar{u}_\tau(t)) \ni 0 \quad t \in (0, T)$$

- ▶ **with some changes:**

- ✓ approximate energy inequality
- ✓ a priori estimates + compactness:
 $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \widehat{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ **But:** you can't pass to the limit in

$$-\widehat{u}'_\tau(t) \in \partial_F \phi(\bar{u}_\tau(t)) \quad t \in (0, T)$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

- ▶ **Discrete solutions:** $u_\tau^0, u_\tau^1, \dots, u_\tau^N \Rightarrow$ approximate solutions
- ▶ **Approximate equation:**

$$\widehat{u}'_\tau(t) + \partial_F \phi(\bar{u}_\tau(t)) \ni 0 \quad t \in (0, T)$$

- ▶ **with some changes:**

- ✓ approximate energy inequality
- ✓ a priori estimates + compactness:
 $\exists u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } L^\infty(0, T; \mathcal{H}), \\ \widehat{u}_\tau \rightarrow u & \text{in } H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \end{cases}$$

because $\partial_F \phi$ is **not strongly-weakly closed!!**

$$-\widehat{u}'_\tau(t) \in \partial_F \phi(\bar{u}_\tau(t)) \quad t \in (0, T)$$

The limiting subdifferential

First idea:

Consider (a version of) the **strong-weak closure** of $\partial_F \phi$:

the limiting subdifferential $\partial_\ell \phi$

given $u \in D(\phi)$,

$$\xi \in \partial_\ell \phi(u) \Leftrightarrow \exists \{u_n\}, \{\xi_n\} \subset \mathcal{H} : \begin{cases} \xi_n \in \partial_F \phi(u_n) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ u_n \rightarrow u, \\ \xi_n \rightarrow \xi, \\ \sup_n \phi(u_n) < +\infty \end{cases}$$

The gradient flow equation for the limiting subdifferential

In [R., Savaré, *Gradient flows of non convex functionals in Hilbert spaces and applications*, ESAIM COCV, to appear]:

- The **limiting subdifferential** is our notion of subdifferential!

The gradient flow equation for the limiting subdifferential

In [R., Savaré, *Gradient flows of non convex functionals in Hilbert spaces and applications*, ESAIM COCV, to appear]:

- The **limiting subdifferential** is our notion of subdifferential!
- Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) + \partial_e \phi(u(t)) \ni 0, & t \in (0, T) \\ u(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

(a source term f on the RHS might be included)

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Second idea:

Instead of passing to the limit in the **pointwise** equation

$$-\widehat{u}'_{\tau}(t) \in \partial_F \phi(\bar{u}_{\tau}(t)) \quad t \in (0, T)$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Second idea:

Instead of passing to the limit in the **pointwise** equation

$$-\widehat{u}'_{\tau}(t) \in \partial_F \phi(\bar{u}_{\tau}(t)) \quad t \in (0, T)$$

pass to the limit in the **approximate energy inequality**

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\widehat{u}'_{\tau}(s)|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\partial_F \phi(\bar{u}_{\tau}(s))|^2 ds + \phi(\bar{u}_{\tau}(t)) \leq \phi(u_0)$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Second idea:

Instead of passing to the limit in the **pointwise** equation

$$-\widehat{u}'_{\tau}(t) \in \partial_F \phi(\bar{u}_{\tau}(t)) \quad t \in (0, T)$$

obtain the **limit energy inequality**

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u'(s)|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\partial_{\ell} \phi(u(s))|^2 ds + \phi(u(t)) \leq \phi(u_0)$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Third idea:

If $\partial_\ell \phi$ fulfils the **chain rule**

$$u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \phi(u(t)) = \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)), u'(t) \rangle \text{ a.e. in } (0, T)$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Third idea:

If $\partial_\ell \phi$ fulfils the **chain rule**

$$u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \phi(u(t)) = \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)), u'(t) \rangle \text{ a.e. in } (0, T)$$

then

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u'(s)|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\partial_\ell \phi(u(s))|^2 ds + \phi(u(t)) \leq \phi(u_0)$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Third idea:

If $\partial_\ell \phi$ fulfils the **chain rule**

$$u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \phi(u(t)) = \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)), u'(t) \rangle \text{ a.e. in } (0, T)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u'(s)|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\partial_\ell \phi(u(s))|^2 ds + \phi(u(t)) &\leq \phi(u_0) \\ &= \phi(u(t)) - \int_0^t \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(s)), u'(s) \rangle ds \end{aligned}$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Third idea:

If $\partial_\ell \phi$ fulfils the **chain rule**

$$u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \phi(u(t)) = \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)), u'(t) \rangle \text{ a.e. in } (0, T)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u'(s)|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\partial_\ell \phi(u(s))|^2 ds + \phi(u(t)) &\leq \phi(u_0) \\ &= \phi(u(t)) - \int_0^t \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(s)), u'(s) \rangle ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_0^t \left(|u'(s)|^2 + |\partial_\ell \phi(u(s))|^2 + 2 \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(s)), u'(s) \rangle \right) ds = 0$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Third idea:

If $\partial_\ell \phi$ fulfils the **chain rule**

$$u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \phi(u(t)) = \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)), u'(t) \rangle \text{ a.e. in } (0, T)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u'(s)|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\partial_\ell \phi(u(s))|^2 ds + \phi(u(t)) &\leq \phi(u_0) \\ &= \phi(u(t)) - \int_0^t \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(s)), u'(s) \rangle ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_0^t |u'(s) + \partial_\ell \phi(u(s))|^2 ds = 0$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Third idea:

If $\partial_\ell \phi$ fulfils the **chain rule**

$$u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \phi(u(t)) = \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)), u'(t) \rangle \text{ a.e. in } (0, T)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u'(s)|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\partial_\ell \phi(u(s))|^2 ds + \phi(u(t)) &\leq \phi(u_0) \\ &= \phi(u(t)) - \int_0^t \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(s)), u'(s) \rangle ds \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$u'(t) + \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T).$$

From convex to non convex: heuristics

Third idea:

If $\partial_\ell \phi$ fulfils the **chain rule**

$$u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} \phi(u(t)) = \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)), u'(t) \rangle \text{ a.e. in } (0, T)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u'(s)|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\partial_\ell \phi(u(s))|^2 ds + \phi(u(t)) &\leq \phi(u_0) \\ &= \phi(u(t)) - \int_0^t \langle \partial_\ell \phi(u(s)), u'(s) \rangle ds \end{aligned}$$

and the **energy identity**

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |u'(s)|^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\partial_\ell \phi(u(s))|^2 ds + \phi(u(t)) = \phi(u_0)$$

An existence and approximation result

Theorem 1 [R., Savaré '04]

An existence and approximation result

Theorem 1 [R., Savaré '04]

Assume

- ▶ ϕ is **coercive**,
- ▶ $\partial_\ell \phi$ complies with the **chain rule**.

An existence and approximation result

Theorem 1 [R., Savaré '04]

Assume

- ▶ ϕ is **coercive**,
- ▶ $\partial_\ell \phi$ complies with the **chain rule**.

Then, the approximate solutions converge to a function $u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$

An existence and approximation result

Theorem 1 [R., Savaré '04]

Assume

- ▶ ϕ is **coercive**,
- ▶ $\partial_\ell \phi$ complies with the **chain rule**.

Then, the approximate solutions converge to a function $u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ which **solves** the Cauchy problem

$$u'(t) + \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T), \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

An existence and approximation result

Theorem 1 [R., Savaré '04]

Assume

- ▶ ϕ is **coercive**,
- ▶ $\partial_\ell \phi$ complies with the **chain rule**.

Then, the approximate solutions converge to a function $u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ which **solves** the Cauchy problem

$$u'(t) + \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T), \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Moreover, u fulfils the **energy identity** (due to the chain rule)

$$\int_s^t |u'(r)|^2 dr + \phi(u(t)) = \phi(u(s)) \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

An existence and approximation result

Theorem 1 [R., Savaré '04]

Assume

- ▶ ϕ is **coercive**,
- ▶ $\partial_\ell \phi$ complies with the **chain rule**.

Then, the approximate solutions converge to a function $u \in H^1(0, T; \mathcal{H})$ which **solves** the Cauchy problem

$$u'(t) + \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T), \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

\Rightarrow existence of **energy solutions**

$$\int_s^t |u'(r)|^2 dr + \phi(u(t)) = \phi(u(s)) \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

No uniqueness

ϕ is not convex



no uniqueness result (uniqueness not expected!)

No uniqueness

ϕ is not convex



no uniqueness result (uniqueness not expected!)



no semigroup of solutions...

No uniqueness

ϕ is not convex



no uniqueness result (uniqueness not expected!)



no semigroup of solutions...



need for a theory of long-time behaviour of
(autonomous) dynamical systems without uniqueness!

No uniqueness

ϕ is not convex



no uniqueness result (uniqueness not expected!)



no semigroup of solutions...



need for a theory of long-time behaviour of
(autonomous) dynamical systems without uniqueness!

Various possibilities: [Sell '73,'96], [Chepyzhov & Vishik '02],
[Melnik & Valero '02], [Ball '97,'04]

No uniqueness

ϕ is not convex



no uniqueness result (uniqueness not expected!)



no semigroup of solutions...



need for a theory of long-time behaviour of
(autonomous) dynamical systems without uniqueness!

In [R., Segatti, Stefanelli, *Attractors for gradient flows of non convex functionals and applications*, preprint '05]:

Ball's theory of **generalized semiflows** to the study asymptotic behaviour of the non convex gradient flow!!

Generalized Semiflows: definition

Phase space: a metric space $(\mathcal{X}, d_{\mathcal{X}})$

Generalized Semiflows: definition

A **generalized semiflow** \mathcal{S} on \mathcal{X} is a family of maps $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ (“solutions”), s. t.

(Existence) $\forall g_0 \in \mathcal{X} \exists$ **at least one** $g \in \mathcal{S}$ with $g(0) = g_0$,

(Translation invariance) $\forall g \in \mathcal{S}$ and $\tau \geq 0$, the map $g^\tau(\cdot) := g(\cdot + \tau)$ is in \mathcal{S} ,

(Concatenation) $\forall g, h \in \mathcal{S}$ and $t \geq 0$ with $h(0) = g(t)$, then $z \in \mathcal{S}$, where

$$z(\tau) := \begin{cases} g(\tau) & \text{if } 0 \leq \tau \leq t, \\ h(\tau - t) & \text{if } t < \tau, \end{cases}$$

(U.s.c. w.r.t. initial data) If $\{g_n\} \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $g_n(0) \rightarrow g_0$, \exists subsequence $\{g_{n_k}\}$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}$ s.t. $g(0) = g_0$ and $g_{n_k}(t) \rightarrow g(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Generalized Semiflows: dynamical system notions

Within this framework:

- ▶ **orbit** of a solution/set
- ▶ **ω -limit** of a solution/set
- ▶ **invariance under the semiflow** of a set
- ▶ **attracting set** (w.r.t. the Hausdorff semidistance of X)

Generalized Semiflows: dynamical system notions

Within this framework:

- ▶ **orbit** of a solution/set
- ▶ **ω -limit** of a solution/set
- ▶ **invariance under the semiflow** of a set
- ▶ **attracting set** (w.r.t. the Hausdorff semidistance of X)

Definition

A set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is a **global attractor** for a **generalized semiflow** \mathcal{S} if:

- ♣ \mathcal{A} is **compact**
- ♣ \mathcal{A} is **invariant** under the semiflow
- ♣ \mathcal{A} **attracts** the **bounded** sets of \mathcal{X} (w.r.t. the Hausdorff semidistance of X)

Long-time behaviour for the gradient flow equation

$$u'(t) + \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T), \quad (\text{GF})$$

Long-time behaviour for the gradient flow equation

$$u'(t) + \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T), \quad (\text{GF})$$

Choice of the phase space:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= D(\phi) \subset \mathcal{H}, \\ d_{\mathcal{X}}(u, v) &:= |u - v|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\phi(u) - \phi(v)| \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Long-time behaviour for the gradient flow equation

$$u'(t) + \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T), \quad (\text{GF})$$

Choice of the phase space:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= D(\phi) \subset \mathcal{H}, \\ d_{\mathcal{X}}(u, v) &:= |u - v|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\phi(u) - \phi(v)| \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Choice of the solution notion: We consider the set \mathcal{S} of the **solutions** $u : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$, of (GF).

Long-time behaviour for the gradient flow equation

$$u'(t) + \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, +\infty), \quad (\text{GF})$$

Choice of the phase space:

$$\mathcal{X} = D(\phi) \subset \mathcal{H},$$
$$d_{\mathcal{X}}(u, v) := |u - v|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\phi(u) - \phi(v)| \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Choice of the solution notion: We consider the set \mathcal{S} of the **solutions** $u : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$, of (GF).

Long-time behaviour for the gradient flow equation

$$u'(t) + \partial_{\ell}\phi(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, +\infty), \quad (\text{GF})$$

Choice of the phase space:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X} &= D(\phi) \subset \mathcal{H}, \\ d_{\mathcal{X}}(u, v) &:= |u - v|_{\mathcal{H}} + |\phi(u) - \phi(v)| \quad \forall u, v \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

Choice of the solution notion: We consider the set \mathcal{S} of the **solutions** $u : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$, of (GF).

- ▶ ¿ Is \mathcal{S} a **generalized semiflow**?
- ▶ ¿ Does \mathcal{S} possess a global attractor?

Long-time behaviour for the gradient flow equation

Theorem 2 [R., Segatti, Stefanelli '05]

Long-time behaviour for the gradient flow equation

Theorem 2 [R., Segatti, Stefanelli '05]

Assume

- ▶ ϕ is **coercive**,
- ▶ $\partial_\ell \phi$ complies with the **chain rule**
- ▶ + (minor) technical hypotheses.

Long-time behaviour for the gradient flow equation

Theorem 2 [R., Segatti, Stefanelli '05]

Assume

- ▶ ϕ is **coercive**,
- ▶ $\partial_\ell \phi$ complies with the **chain rule**
- ▶ + (minor) technical hypotheses.

Then, the set \mathcal{S} of the solutions $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$ of

$$u'(t) + \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, +\infty), \quad (\text{GF})$$

Long-time behaviour for the gradient flow equation

Theorem 2 [R., Segatti, Stefanelli '05]

Assume

- ▶ ϕ is **coercive**,
- ▶ $\partial_e \phi$ complies with the **chain rule**
- ▶ + (minor) technical hypotheses.

Then, the set \mathcal{S} of the solutions $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$ of

$$u'(t) + \partial_e \phi(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, +\infty), \quad (\text{GF})$$

- ▶ \mathcal{S} is a **generalized semiflow**

Long-time behaviour for the gradient flow equation

Theorem 2 [R., Segatti, Stefanelli '05]

Assume

- ▶ ϕ is **coercive**,
- ▶ $\partial_e \phi$ complies with the **chain rule**
- ▶ + (minor) technical hypotheses.

Then, the set \mathcal{S} of the solutions $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(0, +\infty; \mathcal{H})$ of

$$u'(t) + \partial_e \phi(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, +\infty), \quad (\text{GF})$$

- ▶ \mathcal{S} is a **generalized semiflow**
- ▶ \mathcal{S} possesses a **global attractor** \mathcal{A}

Gradient flows of C^1 perturbations of convex functionals

Theorems 1 and 2 apply to functionals ϕ of the form:

- ▶ C^1 -perturbations of convex functionals:

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2,$$

ϕ_1 proper, l.s.c., convex, $\phi_2 \in C^1(\mathcal{H})$.

Gradient flows of C^1 perturbations of convex functionals

Theorems 1 and 2 apply to functionals ϕ of the form:

- ▶ C^1 -perturbations of convex functionals:

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2,$$

$$\phi_1 \text{ proper, l.s.c., convex, } \phi_2 \in C^1(\mathcal{H}).$$

$$\partial_\ell \phi = \partial \phi_1 + D\phi_2,$$

Gradient flows of C^1 perturbations of convex functionals

Theorems 1 and 2 apply to functionals ϕ of the form:

- ▶ C^1 -perturbations of convex functionals:

$$\phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2,$$

$$\phi_1 \text{ proper, l.s.c., convex, } \phi_2 \in C^1(\mathcal{H}).$$

$$\partial_\ell \phi = \partial \phi_1 + D\phi_2,$$

- ▶ $\partial_\ell \phi$ fulfils the chain rule \Rightarrow **existence and long-time behaviour** of the solutions of

$$u'(t) + \partial \phi_1(u(t)) + D\phi_2(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T)$$

Gradient flows of concave perturbations of convex functionals

Theorems 1 and 2 apply to functionals ϕ of the form:

Gradient flows of concave perturbations of convex functionals

Theorems 1 and 2 apply to functionals ϕ of the form:

dominated concave perturbations of convex functionals:

$$\phi = \psi_1 - \psi_2,$$

ψ_i proper, l.s.c., convex, $i = 1, 2$

$\partial\psi_1$ **"dominates"** $\partial\psi_2$

Gradient flows of concave perturbations of convex functionals

Theorems 1 and 2 apply to functionals ϕ of the form:

dominated concave perturbations of convex functionals:

$$\phi = \psi_1 - \psi_2,$$

ψ_i proper, l.s.c., convex, $i = 1, 2$

$\partial\psi_1$ "dominates" $\partial\psi_2$

Note:

$$\partial_\ell \phi \subset \partial\psi_1 - \partial\psi_2$$

Gradient flows of concave perturbations of convex functionals

Theorems 1 and 2 apply to functionals ϕ of the form:

dominated concave perturbations of convex functionals:

$$\phi = \psi_1 - \psi_2,$$

ψ_i proper, l.s.c., convex, $i = 1, 2$

$\partial\psi_1$ "dominates" $\partial\psi_2$

$$-u'(t) \in \partial_\ell \phi(u(t)) \subset \partial\psi_1(u(t)) - \partial\psi_2(u(t))$$

Gradient flows of concave perturbations of convex functionals

Theorems 1 and 2 apply to functionals ϕ of the form:

dominated concave perturbations of convex functionals:

$$\phi = \psi_1 - \psi_2,$$

ψ_i proper, l.s.c., convex, $i = 1, 2$

$\partial\psi_1$ “**dominates**” $\partial\psi_2$

the gradient flow approach gives **a class** of solutions of

$$u'(t) + \partial\psi_1(u(t)) - \partial\psi_2(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T)$$

Gradient flows of concave perturbations of convex functionals

Theorems 1 and 2 apply to functionals ϕ of the form:

dominated concave perturbations of convex functionals:

$$\phi = \psi_1 - \psi_2,$$

ψ_i proper, l.s.c., convex, $i = 1, 2$

$\partial\psi_1$ “**dominates**” $\partial\psi_2$

$\partial_\ell\phi$ fulfils the chain rule \Rightarrow existence and long time behaviour for the **gradient flow** solutions of

$$u'(t) + \partial\psi_1(u(t)) - \partial\psi_2(u(t)) \ni 0 \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in (0, T)$$

Energy solutions of quasistationary phase field models

dominated concave perturbations of convex functionals:

Energy solutions of quasistationary phase field models

dominated concave perturbations of convex functionals:

Applications: existence and long-time behaviour of the **gradient flow** solutions of general **quasistationary evolution systems**

$$\vartheta_t + \chi_t - \Delta \vartheta = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty)$$

$$F'(\chi) = \vartheta \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty)$$

$$\partial_n \chi = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$$

$$\vartheta = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$$

Energy solutions of quasistationary phase field models

dominated concave perturbations of convex functionals:

Applications: existence and long-time behaviour of the **gradient flow** solutions of **the quasistationary phase field model**

$$\begin{aligned}\vartheta_t + \chi_t - \Delta \vartheta &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty) \\ -\Delta \chi + \chi^3 - \chi &= \vartheta && \text{in } \Omega \times (0, +\infty) \\ \partial_n \chi &= 0 && \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty) \\ \vartheta &= 0 && \text{in } \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty)\end{aligned}$$